

IS THIS “LAW REVIEW” ARTICLE TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE, OR BOTH?

We snapped the screenshot printed below just before this issue of the *Green Bag* (Summer 2018) trundled off to the printer. It shows the top of Wikipedia’s article about law reviews. We are struggling to figure out whether the two “multiple issues” flagged there are purely comedic, purely ironic, or a mix of the two. Indeed, given the cleverness of some Wikipedians we know, we would not be surprised if it turns out that the whole article had been written in order to trigger just those two “multiple issues” and the questions we now raise. In any event, here are those issues:

- The examples and perspective in this article or section might have an extensive bias or disproportional coverage towards one or more specific regions. (April 2011)
- This article needs additional citations for verification. (April 2010)

What do you think? Comedy? Irony? And to all the law review editors out there: Does the lag time between editorial feedback and author response seem too long, too short, or about right?

