Ex Ante

Page 260 n.5: *As printed* – "He, yet again, reversed, reasoning ruled that the government's position could not be said to be substantially justified" *As corrected* – "He, yet again, reversed, reasoning that the government's position could not be said to be substantially justified"

Several attentive readers spotted an error in Greg Goelzhauser's *Justice Blackmun's Blood Oath*, 18 GREEN BAG 2D 163 (2015). Steve Duvernay and Hardin T. Haynes sent especially kind and complete corrections. As Duvernay tactfully put it:

A minor correction to *Justice Blackmun's Blood Oath*, 18 GREEN BAG 2D 163. On page 171, when discussing *Huffman v. Western Nuclear, Inc.*, the author writes that Justice Blackmun was "unable to avoid using 'viable' in its non-mathematical sense." I believe the author meant to capture Blackmun's despair at using the word in its "nonmedical sense."

There. That's better.

A JOURNAL WITH STYLE

The New Rambler is not a law journal, or a travel magazine. It is a new online review of books. But two of its three editors (Eric Posner of the University of Chicago and Adrian Vermeule of Harvard) are lawyers. And it does review plenty of books about law (as well as history, political philosophy, politics, theology, the humanities, and the natural and social sciences).¹ We like *TNR*'s style – its authors tend to say interesting things using words even we can understand, maybe because that's what *TNR* asks them to do:

Style Guidelines

- 1. Write for a general, educated audience. You can use The New York Review of Books as a model if you want.
- 2. No footnotes; hyperlinks are fine.
- 3. If you quote the book you are reviewing, include the page number in parentheses.²

¹ See newramblerreview.com/the-new-rambler-review.

² newramblerreview.com/images/files/NRR-Guidelines-for-Reviewers_150608.pdf.