



# EX ANTE

## OUR MISTAKES

Our thanks to attentive reader Robert Markle, who writes to point out that the *Green Bag* is editorially challenged:

Because it is your stated Editorial Policy to “fix typos and mistakes . . . to produce an attractive, grammatical, readable journal,” I thought it my duty to inform you of a way you might have made Volume 15, No. 1 of *Green Bag* 2d slightly more attractive, grammatical, and readable. On page 9, Professor Bernstein’s article reads, “Brandeis was not the first attorney to present ‘sociological’ information to a court considering a challenged to a labor law.” I believe that a more felicitous phrasing would have been “a challenge to a labor law.”

Mr. Markle is correct, and we thank him.

Then there is this useful and entertaining corrective idea from James Oldham of the Georgetown University Law Center:

[I] just received the summer ’11 *Green Bag* issue, and it occurred to me that on your first mistake, you might have thanked Paul Haas for dressing you down!

Paul Haas had noted our use of “costumers” where “customers” would have made a lot more sense. See *Our Mistake*, 14 GREEN BAG 2D 355 (2011). If Professor Oldham ever tires of studying English legal history (see, for example, *Only Eleven Shillings*, his fine article beginning on page 175 of this issue of the *Green Bag*), he could do comedy.